

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/603,606	06/26/2003	Ki Bok Park	42168-0003 2885			
26633	26633 7590 07/11/2005			EXAMINER		
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1717 RHODE ISLAND AVE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3001			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2871			
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/603,606	PARK, KI BOK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew Schechter	2871		

•	LAGITITIE	Arconic	
	Andrew Schechter	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		=	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. I
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-11</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2-4.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	*		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 makes it equivalent to the original claim 2, which was indicated as having allowable subject matter. It would therefore be allowable, as would dependent claims 3, 5, and 6. The proposed amendment to claim 7 is analogous, so claims 7-11 would also be allowable. However, the proposed claim 12 is essentially the same as the original claim 4, which was rejected in view of Asakura '329, so it would not be allowable, and its dependent claims present new combinations of limitations which would require further search and consideration to see if they have allowable subject matter.

Andrew Schechter Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

8 July 2005